



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

GZ

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/417,604	10/14/1999	AKIRA ISHINO	8005.166USO 7641		
22434 7	590 08/28/2003				
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 778 BERKELEY, 0	CA 94704-0778		KIM, EUGENE LEE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3721	クス	
			DATE MAILED: 08/28/2003	2)	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	C
Advisory Action	09/417,604	ISHINO ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eugene L Kim	3721	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (a condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	 a timely filed amendment which 	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	ation in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the maili	-		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	of extension and the corresponding amo f the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The apportion originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			,
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:		
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	ıs.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Set		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	• • •	•	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	roved by the Exam	iner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		2
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	- Con	
		EUGENE I PRIMARY EXA	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner maintains final rejection set forth in paper no. 20. The affidavit or declaration is not directed to issues which were newly raised by the examiner in the final rejection. The declaration is directed towards issues which have been argued during the entire prosecution process. Therefore, the declaration is not considered. See box 6 below.